

Table 1 - Options considered at Spatial Strategy Options (2018) – Regulation 19 Plan

	Spatial Strategy Option 1	Spatial Strategy Option 2	Spatial Strategy Option 3	Spatial Strategy Option 4
Baseline				
Completions/permissions (April 2018)	2888	2888	2888	2888
Existing allocations DPD	1013	1013	1013	1013
Windfall (79dpa + rural exceptions)	1266	1266	1266	1266
Sites in existing settlements/Urban Confines	601	601	601	601
Developed Land in the Green Belt				
Developed Land in the Green Belt (to include all previous brownfield definitions)		1360	1360	1360
Greenfield Sites with infrastructure and community benefits				
Sevenoaks urban area (Quarry)			600	600
Edenbridge (Four Elms)			340	340
Land east of Swanley and the M25 (Pedham Place) - 'broad location for growth'			2500	2500
Other suitable Green Belt sites where benefits do not outweigh harm to the Green Belt				
Weakly performing Green Belt sites on the edge of higher tier settlements				3336
Total	5768	7128	10568	13904
Percent of Objectively Assessed Housing Need	41.32%	51.06%	75.70%	99.60%

Table 2 - Options considered at Spatial Strategy Options (2018) – Plan Period 2019-2035 update

	Spatial Strategy Option 1	Spatial Strategy Option 2	Spatial Strategy Option 3	Spatial Strategy Option 4
Baseline				
Completions/permissions (April 2019)	1842	1842	1842	1842
Existing allocations DPD	1013	1013	1013	1013
Windfall (79dpa + rural exceptions)	1092	1092	1092	1092
Sites in existing settlements/Urban Confines	581	581	581	581
Other maximising supply (SDC owned sites actively being progressed and empty homes allowance 11x5years)	104	104	104	104
Developed Land in the Green Belt				
Developed Land in the Green Belt (to include all previous brownfield definitions)		1338	1338	1338
Greenfield Sites with infrastructure and community benefits				
Sevenoaks urban area (Quarry)			600	600
Edenbridge (Four Elms)			340	340
Land east of Swanley and the M25 (Pedham Place) - 'broad location for growth'			2500	2500
Other suitable Green Belt sites where benefits do not outweigh harm to the Green Belt				
Weakly performing Green Belt sites on the edge of higher tier settlements				3336
Total	4632	5970	9410	12746
Percent of Objectively Assessed Housing Need	40.95%	52.78%	83.19%	112.68%

Table 3 - Options considered at Spatial Strategy Options (2018) – Plan Period 2019-2035 update and C2 units

	Spatial Strategy Option 1	Spatial Strategy Option 2	Spatial Strategy Option 3	Spatial Strategy Option 4
Baseline				
Completions/permissions (April 2019)	1842	1842	1842	1842
C2 Permissions	79	79	79	79
Existing allocations DPD	1013	1013	1013	1013
Windfall (79dpa + rural exceptions)	1092	1092	1092	1092
Trend-based allowance for C2 units	507	507	507	507
Sites in existing settlements/Urban Confines	581	581	581	581
Other maximising supply (SDC owned sites actively being progressed and empty homes allowance 11x5years)	104	104	104	104
Developed Land in the Green Belt				
Developed Land in the Green Belt (to include all previous brownfield definitions)		1338	1338	1338
Greenfield Sites with infrastructure and community benefits				
Sevenoaks urban area (Quarry)			600	600
Edenbridge (Four Elms)			340	340
Land east of Swanley and the M25 (Pedham Place) - 'broad location for growth'			2500	2500
Other suitable Green Belt sites where benefits do not outweigh harm to the Green Belt				
Weakly performing Green Belt sites on the edge of higher tier settlements				3336
Total	5,218	6,556	9,996	13,332
Percent of Objectively Assessed Housing Need	46.13%	57.96%	88.37%	117.86%